

*S. C. Harris,<sup>1</sup> M.D.; H. E. Hamilton,<sup>2</sup> M.S.; and  
J. E. Wallace,<sup>3</sup> Ph.D.*

## Incidence of Cocaine Metabolites in Urine Specimens from Medical Examiners' Cases

In their recent report on the toxicology and epidemiology of cocaine, Finkle and McCloskey summarized data from 27 medical examiners' offices [1]. Methods used by the contributing laboratories varied widely in sensitivity and specificity and included thin-layer chromatography, gas-liquid chromatography, mass spectrometry, spectrophotometry, radioimmunoassay, and enzyme-linked immunoassay. The sensitivity range generally reported by participating laboratories was 1 to 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  cocaine. Sixteen laboratories relied on detecting only parent cocaine, while eleven laboratories also analyzed for benzoylecgonine, the principal cocaine metabolite.

This report describes the use of a radioimmunoassay procedure to detect cocaine metabolites in urine specimens obtained from medical examiners' laboratories. Prior evaluation in our laboratory had shown that this technique reliably detects urinary cocaine metabolite concentrations of 0.025  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  [2,3]. Concentrations of this magnitude had been found in the urines of human volunteers as long as three to six days after nasal inhalation of a single dose (1.5 mg/kg body weight) of cocaine hydrochloride [2].

### Materials and Methods

#### *Analyses*

Radioimmunoassay was performed according to the procedure of Cleeland et al [4]. This assay determines both cocaine and benzoylecgonine with an approximately equivalent sensitivity, but it detects neither ecgonine nor structurally related compounds. Therefore, results from this assay, although reported herein as  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  of benzoylecgonine, are actually  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  of benzoylecgonine equivalents, representing the combined concentrations of cocaine and benzoylecgonine.

Antibody and  $^{125}\text{I}$ -antigen were obtained from Hoffman-La Roche Inc., Nutley, N. J. The procedure involves sequential addition of urine specimen, labeled antigen, and antibody, followed by a brief incubation at ambient temperature. The bound label is then precipitated with ammonium sulfate and the supernatant is removed for counting of the unbound label. One-minute counts were obtained on a Packard AutoGamma scintilla-

Received for publication 27 July 1978; revised manuscript received 28 Oct. 1978; accepted for publication 4 Nov. 1978.

<sup>1</sup>Chief, Special Chemistry, Department of Pathology and Medical Research Service, Audie L. Murphy Veterans Administration Medical Center, San Antonio, Tex., and assistant professor, Department of Pathology, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant instructor, Department of Pathology, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio; currently, supervisor, Toxicology Laboratory, Sealy Hospital, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston.

<sup>3</sup>Associate professor, Department of Pathology, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio.

tion spectrometer, Model 5320. Benzoylcegonine standards were prepared with cocaine-negative pooled human urine. All assays were performed in duplicate. Prior studies in this laboratory indicated that 0.005  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  of cocaine or benzoylcegonine in urine may be detected by this radioimmunoassay technique [3]. However, to avoid reporting "false positives," a 0.025  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  threshold level was used for evaluating clinical specimens [2]. All specimens determined to have an apparent benzoylcegonine concentration of 0.005  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  or greater were subjected to confirmatory radioimmunoassay at a later date. All specimens determined to have an apparent benzoylcegonine concentration of greater than 0.1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  were also analyzed in duplicate by EMIT<sup>®</sup> (Enzyme-Multiplied Immunoassay Technique, Syva Corp., Palo Alto, Calif.), an immunologic assay which shares a number of similarities with radioimmunoassay (RIA) in principle and technique and which has an advertised detection limit for benzoylcegonine of 1.6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  for qualitative work.

### Specimens

Autopsy urine specimens were obtained from the medical examiners' laboratories of three major Texas metropolitan areas, Fort Worth, San Antonio, and Houston. The samples were randomly selected from specimens that had been stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ , a temperature at which the formation of ecgonine from benzoylcegonine is minimal. Specimens were not submitted on the basis of suspected drug use. To preserve the privacy of the subjects, all specimens were submitted identified only by code numbers. Most of the specimens were from cases originating during 1974 through 1977, but some dated back to 1972. Case reports were examined by personnel of the respective submitting laboratories for all specimens having a benzoylcegonine concentration of 0.025  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  or greater to determine if any pattern such as mode of death or usage of other drugs was evident.

### Results and Discussion

The geographic and chronologic distribution of all specimens is presented in Table 1. Of 585 autopsy urines analyzed, 15 contained more than 0.025  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  benzoylcegonine (2.6%). None of these 15 specimens had been previously reported as containing cocaine metabolites. This high percentage of positive specimens would seem to justify making cocaine metabolite analysis a part of the routine forensic toxicologic examination.

The distribution of urinary benzoylcegonine concentrations found in the 15 positive specimens is presented in Table 2. All specimens shown by RIA to have greater than 0.4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  benzoylcegonine were also positive by EMIT. This confirmed our previous studies, using control urine samples with added known amounts of benzoylcegonine, that

TABLE 1—*Geographic and chronologic distribution of specimens.*<sup>a</sup>

Year	San Antonio	Fort Worth	Houston	Total
1972	5/70	...	...	5/70
1973	1/16	...	...	1/16
1974	1/60	1/53	...	2/113
1975	1/67	0/6	...	1/73
1976	1/79	0/36	0/9	1/124
1977	...	4/143	1/46	5/189
Total	9/292 (3.1%)	5/238 (2.1%)	1/55 (1.8%)	15/585 (2.6%)

<sup>a</sup>Presented as a ratio in which the numerator is the number of specimens having at least 0.025  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  benzoylcegonine equivalents and the denominator is the total number of specimens assayed.

TABLE 2—Distribution of urinary benzoyllecgonine concentrations.

Source and Date of Specimen	Radioimmunoassay <sup>a,b</sup>	EMIT <sup>b</sup>
San Antonio, 1976	0.03	NA <sup>c</sup>
San Antonio, 1973	0.04	NA
San Antonio, 1972	0.06	NA
San Antonio, 1972	0.07	NA
Fort Worth, 1977	0.08	NA
San Antonio, 1972	0.14	NDA <sup>d</sup>
San Antonio, 1972	0.20	NDA
Fort Worth, 1977	0.22	NDA
San Antonio, 1974	0.23	NDA
San Antonio, 1972	0.28	NDA
Fort Worth, 1974	0.47	0.6
Fort Worth, 1977	0.70	0.9
San Antonio, 1975	>1.00	1.7
Houston, 1977	>1.00	1.7
Fort Worth, 1977	>1.00	4.2

<sup>a</sup>Each value given here is the mean of the initial duplicate determinations and of all subsequent confirmatory assays.

<sup>b</sup>Reported as  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  benzoyllecgonine equivalents.

<sup>c</sup>Not analyzed.

<sup>d</sup>No detectable amount.

had suggested that benzoyllecgonine concentrations as low as 0.4 to 0.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  could be detected by EMIT [3].

It should be noted that only 5 of the 15 cocaine-positive urines identified in this study had benzoyllecgonine concentrations exceeding 0.4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  (Table 2). Thus as many as two thirds of the positive specimens from this study would have been missed by EMIT or by routine thin-layer or gas-liquid chromatographic procedures, all of which have detection limits of 0.4 to 1.6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  [3,5,6]. Nonradioisotopic procedures applicable to measuring concentrations of benzoyllecgonine below 0.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  are generally confined to gas-liquid chromatographic methods that use electron-capture, nitrogen-specific, or mass-spectrometric detection.

Examination of the case information for the 15 subjects whose urinary benzoyllecgonine concentrations exceeded 0.025  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  revealed an association, in all but possibly one case, with other drugs of abuse, violence, or both (Table 3). Furthermore, it was noted that heroin/morphine was the other drug most frequently found in these subjects. Morphine had not been tested for in 4 of the 15 cases, but significant amounts of morphine had been detected in the bile or urine in 8 of the 11 other cases. The four specimens not initially examined for morphine were therefore assayed in duplicate by EMIT; two of these specimens were positive. Thus 10 of the 15 cocaine-positive specimens also contained morphine. This result confirms the previously reported high incidence of opiate use among cocaine users [1]. It is thus apparent that if opiate metabolites are not looked for in routine specimen evaluation, then a history of cocaine use in a case or the detection of cocaine metabolites in a sample should automatically result in examination for opiate metabolites to provide a more accurate and comprehensive toxicologic evaluation.

To examine this association of cocaine and opiate abuse from another perspective, 1447 urine specimens from a Fort Worth, Tex. methadone maintenance program were screened for benzoyllecgonine by RIA. Ninety-four of the 1447 urine specimens were positive. Thus a significantly higher incidence of cocaine use was found in the opiate user population (6.5%) than in the population reflected in the medical examiners' case load (2.6%),  $P < 0.001$  by Fisher's exact test. Once again, the need for sensitive detec-

TABLE 3—Case information for cocaine-positive specimens.

Source and Date of Specimen	Benzoylcegonine Concentration, $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Morphine Concentration, $\mu\text{g/ml}^a$	Comments from Medical Examiners' Records
San Antonio, 1976	0.03	NA <sup>b</sup> (—)	"natural death"; quinine detected
San Antonio, 1973	0.04	67, 87 <sup>c</sup>	heroin
San Antonio, 1972	0.06	27 <sup>c</sup>	heroin
San Antonio, 1972	0.07	57	heroin
Fort Worth, 1977	0.08	NA (+)	gunshot
San Antonio, 1972	0.14	304 <sup>c</sup>	heroin
San Antonio, 1972	0.20	120 <sup>c</sup>	heroin
Fort Worth, 1977	0.22	NDA <sup>d</sup>	accidental carbon monoxide poisoning; marijuana present <sup>e</sup>
San Antonio, 1974	0.23	NA (—)	gunshot
San Antonio, 1972	0.28	108 <sup>c</sup>	heroin
Fort Worth, 1974	0.47	NDA	dextroamphetamine detected
Fort Worth, 1977	0.70	NDA	accidental carbon monoxide poisoning; marijuana present <sup>e</sup>
San Antonio, 1975	>1.00	131 <sup>c</sup>	heroin overdose
Houston, 1977	>1.00	45	heroin, gunshot
Fort Worth, 1977	>1.00	NA (+)	gunshot

<sup>a</sup>Morphine concentration in urine, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup>Not initially analyzed for morphine; sign in parentheses indicates EMIT results from subsequent analysis.

<sup>c</sup>Morphine concentration in bile.

<sup>d</sup>No detectable amount.

<sup>e</sup>These two individuals were found together.

tion methods was seen; 75 of the 94 urines contained benzoylcegonine concentrations of less than 1.0  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ .

### Summary

A radioimmunoassay procedure was used to determine the incidence of cocaine metabolites in postmortem urine specimens obtained from three medical examiners' laboratories in Texas. Approximately 2.6% of the 585 specimens contained cocaine or benzoylcegonine. The need to assay medicolegal specimens for cocaine and its metabolites with sensitive methods was demonstrated; none of the positives detected had been reported as containing cocaine or its metabolites. Morphine was detected in 67% of the cocaine-positive samples, suggesting that a thorough toxicologic examination should include an assay for opiate if cocaine use is suspected or found.

### Acknowledgments

The authors greatly appreciate the efforts and support of the following persons for the submission of specimens and for providing information on specimens determined to contain cocaine metabolites: Ferrin B. Moreland, Ph.D., chief toxicologist, Office of the Harris County Medical Examiner, Houston, Tex.; Mr. Bill Wilson, M.S., chief toxicologist, and Mr. Joe Castorena, deputy chief toxicologist, Office of the Bexar County Medical Examiner, San Antonio, Tex.; and Gaylon A. Peyton, M.S., chief toxicologist, Pathology Associates of Texas, Fort Worth, Tex. (contracting laboratory for the Office of the Tar-

rant County Medical Examiner). The authors also wish to thank Max Morris, Ph.D. for performing statistical evaluations of the data and Ms. Pam Land, MT(ASCP), for her technical assistance.

This research was supported by Grant 2-R01-DA00729 from the National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md.; by Hoffman-LaRoche Inc., Nutley, N. J., who graciously supplied the radiolabeled antigen and appropriate antibody; and by the Medical Research Service of the Audie L. Murphy Veterans Administration Medical Center, San Antonio, Tex.

## References

- [1] Finkle, B. S. and McCloskey, K. L., "The Forensic Toxicology of Cocaine," in *Cocaine: 1977*, R. C. Petersen and R. C. Stillman, Eds., NIDA Research Monograph 13, National Institute for Drug Abuse, Rockville, Md., 1977, pp. 153-192.
- [2] Hamilton, H. E., Wallace, J. E., Shimek, E. L., Jr., Land, P., Harris, S. C., and Christenson, J. G., "Cocaine and Benzoyllecgonine Excretion in Humans," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, Vol. 22, No. 4, Oct. 1977, pp. 697-707.
- [3] Wallace, J. E., Hamilton, H. E., Christenson, J. G., Shimek, E. L., Jr., Land, P., and Harris, S. C., "An Evaluation of Selected Methods for Determining Cocaine and Benzoyllecgonine," *Journal of Analytical Toxicology*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1977, pp. 20-26.
- [4] Cleeland, R., Christenson, J., Vsategin-Gomez, M., Heverem, J., Davis, R., and Grunberg, E., "Determination of Drugs of Abuse by Radioimmunoassay: A Summary of Published Data and Some New Information," *Clinical Chemistry*, Vol. 22, No. 6, 1976, pp. 712-725.
- [5] Wallace, J. E., Hamilton, H. E., King, D. E., Bason, D. J., Schwertner, H. A., and Harris, S. C., "Gas-Liquid Chromatographic Determination of Cocaine and Benzoyllecgonine in Urine," *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 48, No. 1, 1976, pp. 34-38.
- [6] Wallace, J. E., Hamilton, H. E., Schwertner, H. A., King, D. E., McNay, J. L., and Blum, K., "Thin-Layer Chromatographic Analysis of Cocaine and Benzoyllecgonine in Urine," *Journal of Chromatography*, Vol. 114, No. 1, 1975, pp. 433-437.

Address requests for reprints or additional information to  
Steven C. Harris, M.D.  
Department of Pathology  
Audie L. Murphy Veterans Administration Medical Center  
7400 Merton Minter Blvd.  
San Antonio, Tex. 78284